

2012 CODE AMENDMENT STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

October 4, 2012

Present: Kevin Gattis, Carson City; Eric Simonson, City of Reno; Dale Lowery, ABC; Mark Meranda, City of Sparks; Jeff Ball, City of Fernley; Shawn Keating, Lyon County; Don Jeppson, Washoe County; Leonard Ramociotti, AGC; Mike McCulloch, NNICC

Randy Canale, Local 350

Meeting notes from October 4, 2012:

1: *Approval of September 20 meeting notes.*

There were no corrections or additions to the meeting notes.

2: *Review and vote on structural amendments.*

IBC 1608.2 Snow Table	Unanimous For
IBC 1609.1.1 Wind	Unanimous For
IBC 1803.2 Soil Investigations	Tabled. Need explanation from sub-committee.
IBC 1803.6 Geotechnical Reports	Unanimous For
IBC 1805.8.1 Post Tension Slabs	Unanimous For
IBC 1807.2 Rockery Walls	Unanimous For
IBC 1809.5(1) Frost Depth	Unanimous For/Change Storey to 24".
IBC 3102.7 Membrane Structure	Unanimous For
IBC I 105.2 Patio Covers	Unanimous For
IRC Table 301.2(1) Wind	Unanimous For
IRC Table 301.2(1) Seismic	Unanimous Against The committee felt that the individual jurisdictions should fill out the table since Carson uses a category E.
IRC Table 301.2(1) Topographical	Unanimous Against While the committee did not disagree with the amendment they felt once again the individual jurisdictions should fill out the table.

There was a discussion regarding ice dams and at what elevation they would be required. There was a consensus to come up with a regional elevation. While there was no amendment proposed it was agreed that when the jurisdictions fill out Table 301.2(1) in the IRC ice dams will be required above 5000 feet.

3: *Vote on any other amendments submitted.*

There were no other amendments submitted.

4. *Decide on the direction of the mechanical code.*

The general sentiment was that if the IAPMO group could produce a viable amendment package than the committee would consider adopting the 2012 UMC; should the UMC package be incomplete, than the committee may stay on course with the IMC. Lenard Ramociotti stated that the UMC package would be completed by November 15th. There was a discussion regarding the timing for the completion of the code amendment and how much time would be required for adoption. The chair asked for direction regarding the UMC amendments so that the IAPMO group would not be working in a vacuum. It was noted that the intent would be to make the UMC compatible with the "I" codes and not to amend the "I" codes. Additionally there are valuable provisions in the IMC that should be included in the UMC amendments.

5. *If possible set dates for the last two meetings.*

The next meeting will be on November 15th. The chair will check the availability of BANN's meeting room.