

# 2012 CODE AMENDMENT STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

## May 31, 2012

Present: Ky Karlson, ABC; Jess Traver, BANN; Kevin Gattis, Carson City; Eric Simonson, City of Reno; Mark Meranda, City of Sparks; Shenna Shrum, BAWN; Mike McCulloch, NNICC

Meeting notes from May 31, 2012:

**1: *Approval of May 3 meeting notes.***

There were no corrections or additions to the meeting notes.

**2: *Chapter website comment capabilities update. Outreach.***

The chair pointed out that no contact information has been received. Without informing concerned users there may be delays in the adoption process. There was a general discussion of which groups to contact.

**3: *Propose deadlines for catch up amendments.***

The October 11 meeting has been designated for any amendments that arrive late or were deferred. To give the members time to review the amendments prior to the meeting, a mandatory deadline was proposed. It was agreed that September 27, two weeks before the voting meeting would be the last day to submit amendments.

**4: *Vote on proposed amendments.***

IBC-202 Code Definitions	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-202 High Rise Definitions	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-305.2	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-311.2	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-906	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-1018.5	<i>Withdrawn. This was an amendment from the 2007 Amendment package for compatibility issues between the IBC and UMC. This conflict no longer exists because of the planned adoption of the IMC.</i>

IBC-1407.11.3	<i>Withdrawn. This and the following amendment were withdrawn until further information can be obtained from ICC regarding the 75' restriction and how it relates to the high rise provisions. There are five other sections in the IBC that are height related to 75'.</i>
IBC-1407.11.3	<i>Withdrawn. See previous amendment.</i>
IBC-2901.1	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-Table 2901.1	<i>Withdrawn. There initially was a vote to adopt the table unamended. This failed with 2 in favor, 4 against and 1 abstain. There was a lengthy discussion regarding different aspects of the table. It was decided to withdraw the amendment and have an email discussion to hammer out the details.</i>
IBC-3401.6	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>
IBC-I105.2	<i>Passed. Vote was unanimous</i>

**5: *Discuss residential fire sprinklers.***

There was a general discussion regarding the upcoming IRC review and the requirement in the 2012 IRC to make residential fire sprinklers mandatory for all newly constructed townhomes and 1 and 2 family dwellings. This should be decided at the June 27 meeting when IRC amendments will be voted on.